


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114



RSA-5757-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.02.2025

HANS RAJ AND ANR. ..Appellants
Versus
DOLU RAM AND ANR. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate for the appellants.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The defendants assail the correctness of the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the Courts below while decreeing plaintiffs suit for grant of permanent injunction restraining the defendants from causing any illegal, unlawful, forcible interference in the principal possession of the plaintiffs over land measuring 3 kanal and 16 marlas. Both the Courts have found that the plaintiffs are proved to be owners in possession of the suit land pursuant to a partition proceedings, which has not been challenged by the defendants.
2. Learned counsel representing the appellants though made sincere attempt, however, failed to draw the attention of the Court to any substantive error, which goes to the roots of the case. It may be noted here that both the Courts have examined the matter in detail and attention of the Court has not been drawn to any error in reading of the evidence.
3. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.
4. Dismissed accordingly.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

February 05th, 2025 (ANIL KSHETARPAL)
Ay JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No