

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.

106

2025:PHHC:111924



CRWP-9082-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.08.2025.

SUDESH AND OTHERS ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

PRESENT: Mr. S.K. Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Dr. (Ms.) Malvika Singh, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL)

Even though the instant petition had been filed for seeking directions to the respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 17, however, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that now he has received instructions that the matter has already been resolved amicably and therefore, he does not wish to press the instant writ petition at this stage.

2 State counsel has no objection to the same.

3 Disposed of as not pressed.

August 25, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No