



102  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50507-2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:07.01.2025

(I)  
Sunny Singh @ Seni Singh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CRM-52195-2024 (O&M)

(II)  
Sunny Singh @ Seni Singh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present: Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Vinay Kumar Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.  
Mr. Varun Jain, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 4.

\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)**

1. Learned State counsel has stated that ASI Sukha Singh is present in the Court and submitted that vide order dated 27.12.2024 passed in CRM-M-52195-2024, the Investigating Officer of the case was directed to remain present

in the Court but he has not come present in the Court because he has since been transferred.

2. All the learned counsels for the parties have stated on their respective instructions that both the petitions can be dismissed as CRM-M-50507-2024 has been filed for seeking quashing of the order by which the petitioner has been declared as a proclaimed offender and CRM-M-52195-2024 has been filed for the grant of anticipatory bail and now the petitioner has been arrested by the police in the present case and therefore, nothing would survive in the present cases.

3. In view of the aforesaid factual position as stated by all the learned counsels for the parties, the both the petitions are hereby dismissed.

07.01.2025

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)  
JUDGE

rakesh

|                    |        |
|--------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking   | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable | Yes/No |