



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

135

CRWP-9044-2025

Date of decision: 21.08.2025

Arti and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present : Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J.(ORAL)

1. The present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been preferred by the petitioners seeking direction to official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7.

2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners had given representation dated 14.08.2025 (Annexure P-1) but till date no action thereon has been taken. She submits that for the purpose of this petition, the petitioners will be satisfied if directions are given to respondent No.2 to consider and dispose of the representation dated 14.08.2025 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.

5. After considering the respective submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and the averments made in the petition, without commenting on the merits thereof, the present petition is disposed of with



direction to respondent No.2 Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to consider and dispose of the representation dated 14.08.2025 (Annexure P-1), within a period of 2 weeks, in accordance with law in an expeditious manner. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioners as well.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

21.08.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No