



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

**CM-7014-LPA-2025 in/and
LPA-2789-2025
Date of Decision: 15.09.2025**

Avtar Singh

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-7014-LPA-2025

This is an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for
condonation of delay of 16 days in filing the appeal.

Keeping in the view facts mentioned in the application, the same
is allowed and the delay of 16 days in filing the appeal is hereby condoned.

LPA-2789-2025

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the order dated
01.07.2025 passed in CWP-17066-2025 titled as *Avtar Singh vs. State of
Punjab and others*, by the learned Single Judge, by which, the writ petition for
issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 for taking appropriate legal
action against respondents No.4 and 5 for misleading and defauding the public
and residents as alleged of Village Kotha Guru, District Bathinda by claiming



LPA-2789-2025

-: 2 :-

themselves to be the President of Nagar Panchayat, was dismissed however liberty was given to the appellant herein to avail appropriate remedy, in case available, in accordance with law.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that the only grievance raised was that respondent No.5 and his wife were creating hindrance in the smooth running of the office of Nagar Panchayat, Village Kotha Guru, District Bathinda, and misusing the Government functionary by keeping votes in different wards and has been wrongly elected as Municipal Councillor but the learned Single Judge has not appreciated the controversy of the present case in the impugned order dated 01.07.2025.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and have gone through the record with his able assistance.

4. A bare perusal of the paragraph 6 of the order dated 01.07.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge clearly shows that no material has been placed on record to support the accusations by the appellant in the present petition against the respondent and the said accusation has only been made, as 'No Confidence Motion' has already been moved against the appellant by the respondent.

5. Today again, learned counsel for the appellant was given opportunity to prove the allegations but no evidence has been placed by him before this Court to substantiate his claim.

6. Keeping in view the above, the order dated 01.07.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge has not been shown to be perverted either on facts or law. No ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the facts and circumstances of the present case.



LPA-2789-2025

-: 3 :-

7. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.
8. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

September 15, 2025
Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No