



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9032-2025

Date of decision: 21.08.2025

TARSEM KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Nishant Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents and take action on their representation dated 11.08.2025 (Annexure P-1).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation on 11.08.2025 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib raising its grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He has further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 11.08.2025 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.
6. Disposed of.

21.08.2025
puneet

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |