



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

**CRM-M-46230-2025  
Date of decision: 25.08.2025**

BEAUTY SINGH AND ANOTHER .....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS .....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate  
Dr. Anita, Advocate and  
Mr. G.S. Gorla, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

Prayer made in the present petition is for seeking directions to the respondents to decide the representation dated 31.07.2025 and to register an FIR.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that the petitioners are Hindu by religion and they are living in a joint Hindu family consisting of Grand Father, Grand mother, father, mother and sister etc. He contends that the petitioner No.2 being the daughter-in-law of the main karta i.e. the respondent No.9-Vijay Singh has been dispossessed from the house in which she was staying with her daughter. He submits that the respondents alongwith various other persons have forcibly dispossessed the petitioners out of the first floor of the house where they were staying.

**CRM-M-46230-2025**

-2-

3. It is not in dispute that the petitioner No.2 had filed an application under Section 12 read with Section 17, 18, 19, 20, 22 and 23 of The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 for grant of protection and residence orders. The same is stated to be pending. Further, a Civil Suit had also been filed by the petitioner for seeking injunction against the respondents. Undisputedly, the interim injunction has not been granted. The said Civil Suit is stated to be still pending before the Civil Court.

4. Counsel contends that the petitioners are being threatened and they have been given beatings, however, there is no medical record that would substantiate commission of any cognizable offence.

5. I have heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf the petitioners and having gone through the documents appended alongwith the present petition.

6. It seems that the petitioners having failed to succeed in getting a desired result from the civil proceedings as well as the proceedings under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 has taken recourse to the present petition as a means to seek possession. The recourse to criminal law for settlement of civil disputes is impermissible, hence, the present petition is accordingly dismissed.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)****AUGUST 25, 2025****JUDGE***Vishal Sharma*

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |