



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104+267+120 Decided On: 27.10.2025

1.

CWP-29139-2024(O&M)

SAVITA RANI

...PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)
2.

CWP-11506-2025

ANIL KUMAR

...PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)
3.

CWP-11991-2025

SAVITA

...PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)
4.

CWP-12403-2025

MANDEEP SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)
5.

CWP-12719-2025

ASHA DEVI AND OTHERS

...PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)
6.

CWP-13439-2025

MUKESH KUMARI AND OTHERS

...PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)



7.	CWP-15261-2025	
YASH PAL SINGH	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
8.	CWP-16962-2025	
POOJA RANI	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
9.	CWP-1715-2025	
KAVITA KUMARI	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
10.	CWP-1718-2025	
SUMAN DEVI	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
11.	CWP-20062-2025(O&M)	
HARJEET SINGH	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
12.	CWP-20847-2025	
RAJANI AND OTHERS	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)



13.

CWP-23327-2025

KIRAN AND OTHERS

...PETITIONER(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)
14.

CWP-24379-2025

VEENA PANCHOLI

...PETITIONER(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)
15.

CWP-24630-2025

SARITA DEVI

...PETITIONER(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)
16.

CWP-24975-2024

RENU SONI ALIAS RENU BAI AND OTHERS

...PETITIONER(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)
17.

CWP-264-2025

SUKHWINDER SAINI

...PETITIONER(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)
18.

CWP-28352-2024

SUDARSHAN KUMAR AND OTHERS

...PETITIONER(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)



19.	CWP-29163-2024	
SANDEEP SANGWAN AND OTHERS	...PETITIONER(s)	
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)	
20.	CWP-29253-2024	
PRITI	...PETITIONER(s)	
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)	
21.	CWP-30100-2024	
DEVENDER AND OTHERS	...PETITIONER(s)	
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)	
22.	CWP-30864-2024	
VIRENDER KUMAR	...PETITIONER(s)	
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)	
23.	CWP-31113-2024	
SUMAN BALA	...PETITIONER(s)	
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)	
24.	CWP-31211-2024	
SACHIN	...PETITIONER(s)	
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)	



25.	CM-14482-CWP-2025 IN/AND CWP-31310-2024(O&M)	
POONAM AND OTHERS	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
26.	CWP-31541-2024	
JASHANPREET SINGH	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
27.	CWP-31969-2024	
SUNITA RANI AND OTHERS	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
28.	CWP-31986-2024	
KARAN SHARMA AND OTHERS	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
29.	CWP-32087-2024	
RAJANI YADAV AND ANOTHER	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
30.	CWP-32097-2024	
RAMESH	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)



31.	CWP-32518-2024	
NAVEEN KUMAR AND ANOTHER	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
32.	CWP-564-2025	
JASPAL SINGH	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
33.	CWP-1142-2025	
NEERAJ SAINI	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
34.	CWP-2561-2025	
RAGHUBIR SINGH	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
35.	CWP-3475-2025	
POONAM DEVI AND OTHERS	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)
36.	CWP-4886-2025	
POOJA DEVI	...	PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...	RESPONDENT(s)



37.	CWP-5485-2025	
	RAMESH KUMAR AND OTHERS	...PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)
38.	CWP-704-2025	
	KULDEEP KAUR	...PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)
39.	CWP-6055-2025	
	SONIYA	...PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER	...RESPONDENT(s)
40.	CWP-9712-2025	
	KULDEEP SINGH AND OTHERS	...PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)
41.	CWP-9748-2025	
	KAMIYA	...PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)
42.	CWP-26535-2025	
	PUSHPA AND OTHERS	...PETITIONER(s)
	Versus	
	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS	...RESPONDENT(s)



43. CWP-28699-2025

SANDEEP

...PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)

44. CWP-31395-2025

BALA DEVI

...PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Ms. Divya Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Sheetal Rana, Advocate and
Ms. Yashika Chauhan, Advocate; Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate;
Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate; Mr. R. S. Dhull, Advocate;
Mr. Yagsimant Attri, Advocate for Mr. Rahul Verma, Advocate;
Mr. Shubham Malik, Advocate for Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate;
Mr. Ankur Sidhar, Advocate; Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate;
Mr. Pardeep Sehrawat, Advocate; Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate;
Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate;
Mr. Vikas Kumar Sangwan, Advocate with
Ms. Monika Sangwan, Advocate; Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate;
Mr. Sumit Kumar Rangi, Advocate; Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate;
Mr. Satyawar Nain, Advocate; Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate;
Mr. Mahesh Saxena, Advocate; Mr. A. K. Vijayrania, Advocate;
and Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate;
Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate with
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate; and
Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate
for the applicant(s).

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J.(Oral)

The aforementioned petitions are based upon similar facts
involving common issues; accordingly, the same are being decided together.

The posts in question were advertised vide advertisements 02/2023 and

04/2023. The petitioners have sought directions to the respondents to revise



the final result for the post of TGT in five subjects, i.e., English, Physical Education, Sanskrit, Home Science and Punjabi, dated 27.07.2024 and 10.08.2024, on the ground that objections to the answer key submitted by them in response to notices dated 06.05.2023, 17.05.2023 and 07.12.2023, have not been dealt with by the experts in a proper manner. Questions have been raised about credentials of the experts from whom advice was sought by the Commission to decide the objections. In these circumstances, vide interim order, dated 07.04.2025, the respondents were restrained from giving appointments any further to any of the candidates on the basis of result dated 27.07.2024.

3. Learned State counsel has received instructions from the Commission, vide memo dated 27.10.2025, which is retained on the case file along with its enclosure as Annexure 'A'. The instructions are to the following effect:

2. That the respondent Commission is placing on record the performa proposed, to be submitted before the Hon'ble Court (copy enclosed herewith). Accordingly, the petitioner(s) are requested to furnish their respective data as per Performa. Thereafter, the Respondent Commission will send the data along with sources of the Commission as well as of the petitioner(s) to an independent Expert.

3. That it is further clarified that only those objections originally lodged by the Petitioner(s) during the specified objection portal periods (i.e. 06.05.2023, 17.05.2023 and 07.12.2023) will be considered and submitted for the independent expert view.

He, accordingly, submits that on receiving details from the petitioners in the enclosed proforma, the objections of those petitioners who had submitted objections earlier in response to the three notices aforementioned, will be sent



to the experts of respective subjects, and on receiving their advice appropriate decision will be taken to revise the final selection result, if required. The process will be completed within three months.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners have no objection to the petitions being disposed of in terms thereof. They have also undertaken that the requisite details will be submitted to the Commission within ten days from today in the aforementioned attached proforma.

5. Accordingly, these petitions are disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned State counsel with a direction that the Commission will get the objections submitted by the petitioners examined by independent subject experts holding fairly senior academic positions in Government institutions who will be asked to give justification for the advice tendered with respect to every objection, as per law laid down in *Tejpal and others v. State of Haryana and another*, 2025 NCPHHC 141552. Also, the stay granted vide interim order dated 07.04.2025 shall continue till the Commission finally takes a decision whether to revise the final selection result, after receiving the experts' advice.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected files.

27.10.2025

Ad

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No