



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CRWP-9003-2025

Date of Decision : 21.08.2025

SATVIR KAUR AND ANOTHER**...Petitioners****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY**

Present: Mr. Rajbinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

Apprehending danger to their life and liberty, both the petitioners have filed the present petition with a prayer to direct the official respondents to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondent No. 4 to 9 as they are living in live-in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 is stated to be born on 02.01.1991. Date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 19.01.1991. Copies of their Aadhar Cards have been annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner No.1 had solemnized marriage with respondent No.4 and out of the said wedlock, two children were born, both of whom are living with their mother i.e. petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2 had solemnized marriage with respondent No.9 and out of the said wedlock, one child was born, who is living with the mother i.e. respondent No.9. On account of temperamental differences, the



petitioner No.1 and respondent No.4 as also petitioner No.2 and respondent No.9 could not live together and decided to part ways.


It is further submitted that both the petitioners are known to each other and have developed an emotional bond with each other and have started living together, i.e. they are in live-in relationship.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has also referred to a judgment of co-ordinate Bench of this Court passed in *CRWP No.5898 of 2023 titled as 'Saranjeet Kaur and another Versus The State of Punjab and others'*, decided on 13.06.2023, wherein protection in such a situation was granted to both the petitioners who are living in live-in relationship.

4. In so far the present petition is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioners has limited his prayer to direct the official respondents to decide representation dated 13.08.2025 annexed as Annexure P-3 moved by petitioners.

5. Without commenting on validity of the marriage allegedly performed by the petitioners with each other.

6. Without commenting upon the merits of the case and in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to decide the representation dated 13.08.2025 (Annexure P-3) within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, then necessary steps warranted under law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.



7. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which would be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.
8. Disposed of accordingly.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

21.08.2025
Nisha Yadav

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>