



CRM-M-45934-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

225

CRM-M-45934-2025

Date of decision : 31.10.2025

Gaurav

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. Sunil Sihag, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Ved Parkash, Senior DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)**

1. Petitioner - Gaurav has filed second petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No.448 dated 21.09.2024, under Sections 70(2), 77, 351(3) of BNS and Section 6 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Dabua, District Faridabad.
2. As per the facts of the case, complainant 'R' gave his statement that on 20.09.2024 at about 07:00 PM, his daughter 'S' aged about 15 years, started crying as she was in severe pain. On inquiry, she disclosed that on 20.09.2024, she had gone to meet Gaurav in the basement of Delhi Police Society. Said boy came in a white coloured Alto car and they were sitting in the car together in intimate position, when Parvesh alias Parre his relative came there and started preparing video. He threatened to show the said video to their family. Parvesh @ Parre threatened Gaurav and told him to go away. After Gaurav left the spot, Parvesh @ Parre blackmailed his daughter and committed forcible rape with the

**CRM-M-45934-2025****-2-**

victim in the car by threatening her. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that petitioner has not indulged in any wrongful act. Main allegations are against co-accused Parvesh @ Parre. His first regular bail petition was dismissed as withdrawn and this is the second regular bail petition filed by the petitioner. He is behind the bars since 22.09.2024. At present, statement of the victim has been recorded as PW-1 (Annexure P-3) and statement of complainant (father of the victim) has been recorded as PW-2 (Annexure P-4), where they have not supported the prosecution version. Petitioner is ready to abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, his regular bail petition may be allowed.

4. Bail petition is opposed by learned counsel representing State. Detailed status report has been filed. Facts narrated in the FIR are confirmed. There are serious allegations against the petitioner and co-accused. The victim was medically examined. Copy of her MLR is Annexure R-1. Statement of victim recorded under Section 183 of BNSS is Annexure R-2. CCTV footage was collected and on completion of investigation, challan was presented on 13.11.2024. Charges are framed on 07.05.2025. At the time of filing of this status report, two prosecution witnesses were examined.

5. I have considered the arguments. Bail petition is not opposed by learned counsel representing respondent No.2. Present petitioner is facing trial. He is behind the bars since 22.09.2024. During the trial, statements of victim as well as her father are already recorded which are Annexure P-3 & P-4. While appearing in court, they did not support the prosecution case. However, other



CRM-M-45934-2025

-3-

evidence is yet to be recorded. The statement of witnesses as well as the report of DNA etc. will be considered at appropriate stage.

Considering the aforesaid factual position, trial may take long time. Therefore, without expressing my mind on merits of the case, regular bail petition filed by petitioner – Gaurav is allowed. He is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Judge concerned.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)  
JUDGE

**31.10.2025.***Sunil Devi*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes/No |