

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

237/147

CWP-26420-2022
Date of Decision: 17.01.2025

Nirmala Devi ...Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others
...Respondents

With

Sr. No.	Case No.	Petitioner	Respondent(s)
2.	CWP-26427-2020	Jai Kumar	Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others
3.	CWP-23548-2022	Ashwani Kumar	Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others
4.	CWP-23480-2022	Bhim Singh	Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

Present: - Mr. Manoj Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Parteek Mahajan, Advocate and
Ms. Sahej Sandhawalia, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from CWP-26420-2022.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to regularize her service as per policy of 2011.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner joined respondent on 01.01.1988. She was not granted benefit of regularization as per 2011 policy. She would be satisfied if her claim is considered as per policy of 2011 which is still in force.

4. Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate, on the asking of Court, confirms that policy of 2011 is still in force and it was made as per judgment of Supreme Court in *Secretary, State of Karnataka v. Uma Devi, (2006) 4 SCC 1*. The case of the petitioner would be considered as per said policy. If the petitioner is found eligible for regularization and other benefits, she would be extended the same within six months from today.

5. In the wake of statement of learned Senior counsel for the respondents, the present petition stands disposed of.

6. It is made clear that if the petitioner is found eligible for regularization as per policy of 2011, she shall not be entitled to arrears.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.01.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No