

2025:PHHC:124966



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

311

CRM-M-49966-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.09.2025

Sodhi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Jarnail Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. S. P. Soi, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

None for the respondent.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. In the main petition, the applicant-petitioner had made prayer for quashing of order dated 09.09.2024, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar in CRR-22-2024.
2. During the pendency of this petition, the petitioner has filed an application bearing number CRM-11922-2025 for staying the cost imposed upon him in the order dated 09.09.2024.
3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner that the main complaint has been dismissed by the learned trial Court, vide order dated 07.01.2025 and, therefore, this petition may be disposed of as it has become infructuous. However, a prayer is made to the effect that since a cost of Rs.5,000/- had been imposed upon the petitioner by the revisional Court, vide order dated 09.09.2024 and the learned trial Court, vide its order dated 07.01.2025, has initiated separate proceedings for recovery of the said

2025:PHHC:124966



cost, therefore, in peculiar facts and circumstances when the main complaint itself stands dismissed, the order qua deposit of cost with the DLSA concerned be set aside.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, since the main complaint itself has been dismissed, this Court deems it appropriate to waive off the condition of depositing the aforesaid by the petitioner. It is ordered accordingly.

11.09.2025

Wassem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No