



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221-2

CRM-M-45810-2025
Date of decision: 04.12.2025
...PETITIONER

AMIR CHAND

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate and
Ms. Janya Sirohi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gourav Kathuria, DAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Gurdeep Singh.

Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.82, dated 18.06.2025, registered at Police Station Mamdot, District Ferozepur, Punjab under Sections 108/3(5) BNS.
2. On 21.08.2025, following order was passed:-

Anticipatory Bail Under Section			482 BNSS
FIR No.	Date	Police Station	Sections
82	18.06.2025	Mamdot, District Ferozepur Punjab	108, 3(5) BNS

Notice served upon the official respondent through the State's counsel.

The Senior Most Investigator conducting the investigation in the FIR captioned above is to file/hand over the status report/instructions positively before the next date, failing which the concerned Superintendent of Police or an equivalent or higher Police Officer shall file/hand over their Reply/Response/Instructions/Status report by the extended date, and if it is still not filed, the concerned Inspector General of police shall have to file it.



The Reply/Response/Instructions/Status report shall also mention the following details under headings:

- A. The evidence based on which the petitioner was arraigned as an accused.
- B. The evidence against the petitioner.
- C. The role of the petitioner.
- D. In case of dismissal of this petition, would the police arrest the petitioner in this FIR, and do they need the accused's police custody?
- E. Petitioner's criminal antecedents, except the FIRs in which petitioner was absolved, discharged, or acquitted.

List on 09.09.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner's arrest shall remain stayed in the FIR captioned above until further orders subject to his joining investigation on 28.08.2025 and 29.08.2025 at 10:00 am in the concerned police station. It is clarified that the order of stay of arrest shall not be construed as an order of interim bail.

It is clarified that in case, complainant wants to file reply, he may do so positively by the next date of hearing.

- 3. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 21.08.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.
- 4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 21.08.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.
- 5. Disposed of.
- 6. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

04.12.2025

Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned.
Whether Reportable.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No