

CM No. 6569-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25578-2024

2025:PHHC:059994



1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113+284)

CM No. 6569-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25578-2024
Date of Decision : 07.05.2025

Ajit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

CM No. 6569-CWP-2025

Present application has been filed for placing on record replication to the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Application is allowed and replication to the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 is taken on record with all just exceptions.

CWP-25578-2024

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that though the petitioner was appointed as Junior Engineer in the year 2007, with effect from the year 2001 but while considering the grant of pensionary benefits, the service period from the year 2001 to the year



2007 has not been taken into account on the ground that the petitioner has not worked in the said period.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similar issue was raised before this Court in CWP No. 11501 of 2025 titled as ***Kuljeet Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 29.04.2025, wherein, the respondent-State has undertaken to re-consider the said issue by passing a fresh order.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-State has not been able to rebut that the issue raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court and submits that the impugned order be treated as withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order on the issue which speaking order will be passed within a period of eight weeks and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioner, the same will be granted, otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the same.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

May 07, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No