



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

228

CRWP-9407-2024

Date of decision : 28.04.2025

Simran kaur

....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Gurkirat Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.5 & 6.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her parental family from danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

2. Affidavit of Daljinder Singh Dhillon, PPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, has been filed, wherein it has been stated as under :-

“5. xx xx xx xx xx

x. *That the petitioner has also stated that she had submitted a representation dated 02.08.2024. That this representation was endorsed with No.110-DIG dated 04.09.2024 and was marked to Deputy Superintendent of Police, (PBI), Pathankot to conduct a detailed inquiry and submit a report.*



However, when the petitioner came present before the inquiry officer, she stated that she does not want to take any action on the representation submitted by her and will take file a complaint in this regard before the Hon'ble Court. Therefore, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, (PBI), Pathankot submitted his final report dated 04.09.2024, whereby, it was recommended that the representation be consigned to the record room as the complainant does not want to take any action on the representation. Thus, this report was approved by the deponent and the representation was recommended to be consigned to the record room on 26.09.2024. That the copy of the abovesaid complaint dated 02.08.2024 endorsed with No.110-DIG dated 04.09.2024 and proceedings thereon are attached herewith as Annexure A-2 xi. That as per the statements of the residents of Model Town, Pathankot, it has been found that the petitioner is not facing any threat to her life and liberty and is levelling false allegations by filing the present petition only to show-down the TAR reputation of Respondent No. 4 to 8 in the society.

6. That the Deputy Superintendent of Police, (City), Pathankot after completing the detailed enquiry submitted his final report before the deponent on 25.11.2024, whereby, it was recommended that the representation be consigned to the record room, as no material evidence during the inquiry has come on record, which could prove that the allegations being leveled by the petitioner being true. Thus, the report dated 25.11.2024 of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, (City), Pathankot, was approved by the deponent and the representation was consigned to the record room on 25.11.2024. That the



CRWP-9407-2024

3

representation dated 02.08.2024 (Annexure P-1) attached with the main petition has not been received earlier in the office of Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot and in this regard a report has been obtained from Incharge, PC Branch, Pathankot. xx xx xx xx”

3. Since the grievance raised by the petitioner has already been looked into by the official respondents, therefore, no further orders are necessitated in the present petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

28.04.2025
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No