

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:064946



277

CRM-M-49072-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.05.2025.

Vipin Sisodia and others

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Verma, Advocate and
Ms. Mukta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.67 dated 06.04.2021 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325 and 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station BPTP, Faridabad, and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. This Court vide order dated 30.09.2024 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties appeared before the learned Magistrate and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate submitted report dated

03.12.2024 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence and statements of victims/ complainants as well as 06 accused i.e. Vipin Sisodia, Mukesh, Nitin, Gaurav, Maharaj Singh and Urmila out of 14 accused been recorded. Further, another report dated 25.04.2025 from learned Magistrate was received, as per which the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence and statements of remaining 08 i.e. Balwant, Hitesh, Mandeep, Jagvir, Sumit Kumar @ Sonu, Ajay, Surender and Chandra Devi accused had also been recorded.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.67 dated 06.04.2021 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325 and 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station NPTP, Faridabad, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) are quashed qua the petitioners.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

15.05.2025

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No