



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CWP-32051-2019

Date of Decision:07.01.2025

M/S R.R. INDUSTRY

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

ADDITIONAL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER (G) MOHALI AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Pawandeep Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. A. S. Talwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 to 5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. It is not under dispute that the order dated 30.10.2018 (Annexure P-12) which is impugned before this Court is not being passed in considering the facts of the instant case, rather it seems that a cut paste order has been passed wherein facts of some other case have been mentioned. The factual error is clearly reflecting in the said order and has not been disputed by learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 5. He further submits that they are ready to reconsider the case of the petitioner and would pass fresh order after considering the entire facts of the instant case, and the plea, as raised in the instant petition.

2. In view of the above, the impugned order dated 30.10.2018 (Annexure P-12) is set aside, and the case is remanded back to the competent

authority for passing a fresh order after considering the facts of the instant case and after giving due opportunity to the petitioner as well as to the respondents concerned. The order shall be passed within two months from the date of receiving of the certified copy of this order, and further both the parties are directed to cause their appearance before the authority concerned, within ten days from today.

- 3. The petitioner is also at liberty to raise the plea before the authority concerned with regard to the penal interest.
- 4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 07, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No