



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

289

CRM-M-46454-2025

Date of Decision : 18.11.2025

SAJJAN MASIH AND OTHERS**...Petitioners****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY**

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hardeep Hans, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners, who have been arrayed as accused in case FIR No.92 dated 02.09.2021, registered against them at the instance of respondent No.2 – Baljit Singh (complainant) for commission of offences punishable u/s 323, 324 and 34 IPC at Police Station Shri Hargobindpur, Batala District Batala, have filed the present petition for quashing of the aforesaid FIR along with all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, on the strength of compromise dated 09.08.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly person of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 09.08.2025 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report



with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The learned trial Court vide report dated 06.10.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel and admits the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the trial Court and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.92 dated 02.09.2021, u/s 323, 324 and 34 IPC at Police Station Shri Hargobindpur, Batala District Batala and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

18.11.2025
Nisha Yadav

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No