



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-46181-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.12.2025

Surjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. I.P.S. Kohli and Mr. Sidharth Maini, Advocates
for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.08 dated 07.04.2025, registered under Sections 7 and 7A of Prevention of Corruption Act, at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

2. On 13.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner has been implicated based on statement of one Jagdish who was working as Senior Assistant but not an accused in the present case with whom petitioner was working as a private helper. There is no call detail between him and Pardeep Singh Dhillon, RTO and with one Rajuvir are on 25.11.2023 for 48 seconds and 12.06.2024 for 57 seconds, besides an SMS. He has been falsely implicated in the case. He is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Adjourned to 04.12.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 20.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions

as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated. ”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 13.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

04.12.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No