



268 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH
CRR-2462-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.01.2025

NACHHATTAR SINGH
V/S
...Petitioner

STATE OF PUNJAB
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR
Present: Ms. Alka Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed against the order dated 05.08.2023 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana vide which two applications filed under Sections 311 Cr.P.C. for calling one Gurmukh Singh as prosecution witness were allowed.

Learned State counsel, at the very outset submits that the present petition has been filed for quashing of the order dated 05.08.2023 vide which the application under Section 311 of Cr.P.C. was allowed and one Gurmukh Singh was ordered to be summoned as prosecution witness and the aforementioned prosecution witness has already been examined. Thus, the present petition has become infructuous. Further, the trial of the case has made substantial progress and 08 prosecution witnesses have already been examined.

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Pending CRM(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

22.01.2025
Ajay Goswami
(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No