



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(290)

CRM-M-46628-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 03.12.2025

Maghar Singh and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Stae of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Ms.Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. AG, Punjab.
Ms. Bhupinder Kaur Bhangu, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.89 dated 16.06.2024, under Sections 341, 323, 506 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Harmanpreet Singh @ Harman Singh who is the sole injured and it is a complete compromise.

3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid

compromise.



5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist class, Dhuri along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.89 dated 16.06.2024, under Sections 341, 323, 506 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

03.12.2025

mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No