



S. No.211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-44873 of 2025
Date of Decision:03.09.2025**

Sukhpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present:- Mr. Piyush Setia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.
(Through Video Conferencing).

Yashvir Singh Rathor, J. (Oral)

1. This is first petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.01 dated 01.01.2024 registered under Sections 307, 452, 323, 324, 506, 148, 149, 201 IPC at Police Station City-1, Abohar, District Fazilka.
2. The present case was registered on the basis of statement given to the Police by Vijay Singh @ Reetu son of Jagseer Singh with the allegations that on 20.11.2023, he had entered into a live-in relationship with one Simranpreet Kaur which was to the disliking of her family members. Her mother along with her relative Babbi took her somewhere and they used to threaten to teach him a



lesson. On 30.12.2023 at about 2/3:00 PM, he was going to the house of his friend Harpreet Singh alias Harry and when he along with his friend turned into the street, he heard the shouts "*catch him and kill him*". Thereafter, 8-10 young persons armed with kirpans, daatars and axes, along with two women came there whom he identified to be Sunny Dikshit, Sehajpreet alias Gyani, Billa alias Balaur Singh, Guru Pandit, Kaka alias Dabba, Inder, Mohit alias Jagga, Sher alias Bulli, Deepak Bath, Samli sons of Jaswinder Singh, Babbi wife of Jaswinder Singh and girl's mother, Sukhpreet Kaur alia Soni (petitioner), wife of Kulwant Singh. They were also accompanied by 5-7 unknown persons. They got off their motor-cycles and attacked him. He ran into the house of his friend to save himself but accused followed him and started causing injuries with the weapons in their possession. Sunny hit in his forehead as well as stomach with the kirpan but he grabbed the kirpan to avoid the blow and when Sunny pulled back the kirpan, he suffered a cut in the right hand and his left hand also sustained injuries. Other accused kept causing injuries to him with sharp edged weapons and to defend himself, he brought his right hand forward and suffered a deep cut on his hand. Thereafter, they caused injuries to him on his both legs with sharp edged weapon and after presuming him to be dead, they fled away. He was taken to the hospital where he was treated and he sought action against the accused.

3. Status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Brar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Abohar, District Fazilka has been filed on behalf of respondent No.1- State of Punjab. The same is taken on record.



4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and the material placed on the file has been perused.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated. No overt act is attributed to her and no injury has been caused by her to the victim. The remaining accused who have been arrested, have already been released on bail. Petitioner is ready to join the investigation. Nothing is to be recovered from her possession and learned counsel prayed that benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in her favour.

6. On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail and argued that the petitioner is the main offender as she was aggrieved with the fact that her daughter had started living with the victim in a live-in relationship and the victim has been assaulted in a brutal manner at the behest of the petitioner who was also present at the spot. Victim has suffered serious injuries which have been declared dangerous to life and in view of the gravity of offence, petitioner does not deserve concession of anticipatory bail.

7. The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. She had a grievance against the victim that her daughter had started living with him in live-in relationship. She had taken her away and had threatened to teach him a lesson. Thereafter, she launched an attack along with 10-12 persons finding an opportunity and victim has been mercilessly beaten who has suffered multiple injuries with sharp edged weapons and injuries have declared dangerous to life and as such, she had a motive to commit the crime. No doubt, no injury is attributed to the petitioner and nothing is to be recovered from her possession but



this circumstance alone is not sufficient to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail as gravity of the offence too has to be looked into. In case, benefit of anticipatory bail is extended in her favour, she can influence the investigation as well as prosecution witnesses before they are examined in the Court.

8. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence and the manner in which it has been committed, petitioner, in my opinion, does not deserve concession of extra-ordinary relief of anticipatory bail. Resultantly, the bail petition is dismissed.

(Yashvir Singh Rathor)
Judge

September 03, 2025
renu

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No