



CRM-M-44733-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

**CRM-M-44733-2025
Decided on: 17.11.2025**

Manjit Singh and another**.....Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab****.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Jagraj Singh Khiva, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed, under section 482 B.N.S.S., 2023 (Equivalent to Section 438 Cr. P.C.) for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners in Cross Case registered, vide DDR No.26 dated 26.07.2025 under sections 118(1), 118(2), 115(2) BNS, 2023 (Equivalent to sections 324, 326, 323, IPC, 1860) (Annexure P-11), registered on the statement of Baldev Singh at Police Station, Mehna to the counter blast of FIR No.073 dated 12.07.2025 under Sections 117(2), 115(2), 3(5) BNS, 2023 (Equivalent to sections 325, 323, 34, IPC, 1860) (Annexure P-2), registered on the statement of petitioner No.1 against Baldev Singh and others at Police Station Mehna, District Moga.

2. On 19.08.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Present petition has been filed, under section 482 B.N.S.S., 2023 (Equivalent to Section 438 Cr. P.C.) for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners in Cross Case registered, vide DDR No.26 dated 26.07.2025 under sections 118(1)



118(2), 115(2) BNS, 2023 (Equivalent to sections 324, 326, 323, IPC, 1860) (Annexure P-11), registered on the statement of Baldev Singh at Police Station, Mehna to the counter blast of FIR No.073 dated 12.07.2025 under Sections 117(2), 115(2), 3(5) BNS, 2023 (Equivalent to sections 325, 323, 34, IPC, 1860) (Annexure P-2), registered on the statement of petitioner No.1 against Baldev Singh and others at Police Station Mehna, District Moga.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that initially, FIR No.73 dated 12.07.2025 under Sections 117(2), 115(2), and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, was registered at the instance of petitioner No.1 – Manjt Singh, against the complainant party, in relation to an incident that took place on 10.06.2025. Subsequently, a Daily Diary Report (DDR No.26 dated 26.07.2025) was registered as a cross-case against the present petitioners and other co-accused under Sections 118(1), 118(2), and 115(2) BNS, 2023, at the instance of the complainant party, namely Baldev Singh (injured).

In the said cross-version, role attributed to petitioner No.1 – Manjt Singh is that of allegedly catching hold of Jaspreet Kaur, attempting to flee after snatching her mobile phone, and engaging in a scuffle with Pawandeep Singh. However, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that said allegations against petitioner No.1 were found to be false during the course of investigation.

3. With regard to petitioner No.2 – Bhagat Singh, role attributed to him is that he gave a kick blow to the complainant – Baldev Singh, who is stated to have suffered three injuries in total, one of which, an injury to the hand, was declared grievous in nature under Section 118(2) BNS. However, that particular injury has been attributed to co-accused, namely Sukhmander Singh.



4. *It is further argued that, even as per the prosecution's version, none of the petitioners was armed with any deadly weapon at the time of the alleged incident, nor did they inflict any serious injury upon the complainant Baldev Singh. Petitioners are willing to join the investigation and undertake full cooperation with the investigating agency, provided they are granted protection from arrest by this Court. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the present case.*

5. *Notice of motion.*

6. *On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant, and files his vakalatnama in Court today, which is taken on record.*

7. *Adjourned to 17.11.2025.*

8. *Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioners shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

9. *Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioners would seek prior permission of the Court.”

**CRM-M-44733-2025****4**

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends in compliance with the order dated 19.08.2025 passed by this Court, petitioners have joined the investigation and have extended full cooperation. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sukhpal Singh, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining investigation on 20.08.2025 by the petitioners, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioners have already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 19.08.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

17.11.2025

Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**