

Sr. No.245


Decided On : November 06, 2025

VS.

* * *

* * *

Learned counsel for petitioner contended that the petitioner has




been falsely involved in the present case and stereo typed story in each and every case is being recorded by the prosecution, whereas the petitioner has nothing to do with the offence in question. Learned counsel further submitted that the recovered contraband falls under the intermediate quantity. No other criminal case is pending against him. He has further urged that trial of the case is likely to take time. Therefore, the petitioner be granted concession of regular bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposed the present bail petition while contending that the petitioner was found in conscious possession of the contraband in question. So, he does not deserve the concession of bail. However, he fairly conceded that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the case file.

As per the allegations, the petitioner was found in conscious possession of *ganja* weighing 1 kilogram 404 grams. However, the said quantity does not fall under the commercial quantity. There are total 16 prosecution witnesses in the present case, out of whom only one witness has been examined, as per the Status Report.

As per the Custody Certificate dated 29.09.2025, the petitioner has already undergone custody of 07 months and 16 days, meaning thereby he has already undergone custody period of more than 08 months, as on today. Trial of the case is going on, conclusion whereof is likely to take considerable time. So, no useful purpose would be served by further



detaining the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted on regular bail, on furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of concerned learned Trial Judge/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of along with the present petition.

November 06, 2025
monika

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ?	Yes/No.
Whether reportable ?	Yes/No.