



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

320

CRM-M-48034-2024

Date of decision: March 10th, 2025

Balwan Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Kumar Singla, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.324 dated 08.10.2015 under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the IPC (Sections 403, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Butana, Karnal, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Vide order dated 09.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 07.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal, in pursuance of the directions of this

Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the

parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the attested copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

March 10th, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No