



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-45164-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.09.2025

Karan Singh @ Karni

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Vishal Sharda, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, AAG Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant first petition has been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in FIR bearing No.332 dated 29.07.2024, registered under Section(s) 18 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

2. Briefly summarized, the case of the prosecution as mentioned in the order dated 06.08.2025 passed by the Special Judge, Fast Track Court, under N.D.P.S. Act, Fatehabad declining the bail to the petitioner reads thus:-

“2. As per prosecution case, during intervening night of 28/29.07.2024, at about 11.55 P.M., a police party headed by SI Sumer Singh, on the basis of secret information, apprehended co-accused Dharam Singh @ Dharma son of



Gurdeep Singh and recovery of 01 kg. 40 grams opium was effected from him, in the presence of Sh. Sharwan Kumar, AETO, Fatehabad. On this, present case was registered. During investigation, co-accused Dharam Singh @ Dharma was arrested, who, suffered disclosure-statement regarding involvement in the crime and further disclosed that he purchased/recovered contraband from unknown person at Chitorgarh, Rajasthan for supplying the same further to applicant-accused Karan @ Karni, on commission basis.

During further investigation, on 29.05.2025, applicant-accused Karan @ Karni was arrested, who suffered disclosure-statement regarding his involvement in the crime.”.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged recovery was effected from co-accused/Dharam Singh @ Dharma, who has already been granted the concession of regular bail by the Special Judge, Fast Track Court, under N.D.P.S. Act, Fatehabad vide order dated 17.09.2024, after undergoing the actual custody of nearly 02 months. He contends that the petitioner was nominated in the present case on the disclosure statement of the said co-accused and that the petitioner has undergone the actual custody of 03 months and 27 days. He further contends that the recovery effected in the present case is a non-commercial quantity.

4. Learned counsel for respondent-State does not dispute the aforesaid aspects.



5. Taking into consideration the circumstances as noticed above i.e. the period of actual custody, co-accused/Dharam Singh @ Dharma, who has already been granted the concession of regular bail by the Special Judge, Fast Track Court, under N.D.P.S. Act, Fatehabad vide order dated 17.09.2024, after undergoing the actual custody of nearly 02 months, the stage of the trial and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

29.09.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No