



CWP-23855-2025

-2-

through distant education.

He further relies upon judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in 'K. Manjushree Vs. State of A.P. and another' (2008) 3 SCC 512 and judgment of the Delhi High Court passed in 'Himani Malhotra Vs. Indraprastha College of Women and another' WP (C) No.5563 of 2017 decided on 21.07.2017 and submits that after the conclusion of the selection process, the criteria and eligibility cannot be changed.

As per the advertisement, the essential qualification required for the post of Scientific Assistant was as under:

"Atleast B.Sc. degree or M.sc degree in Basic Science or Life Science or Environmental Science or Micro-biology from recognized university/institution.

Knowledge of Computer: The Candidate must have undergone a computer course for Six month duration from a reputed institute."

The learned State counsel for respondents No.1 & 2 could not controvert the fact that initially, the result of respondent No.4 was withheld. However, an expert committee was constituted by the Punjab Pollution Prevention Board and some decision in the matter has been taken.

Keeping in view the controversy involved in the present case, this petition is disposed of without commenting further on the merits of the case. Respondents are directed to treat the present writ petition as a representation and pass a speaking order after dealing with the specific objections raised by the petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, after affording an adequate opportunity to be heard to him. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondent.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

19.08.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No