



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47963-2024
Date of decision: 14.02.2025

Navjot Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh Ladher, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prabhjeet Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Balraj Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petitioner has been filed by the petitioner seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.124 dated 09.09.2024, registered under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 351(3), 191(3), 190 of BNS and Section 118(2) of BNS 2023 added later on vide DDR No.37 dated 17.09.2024 at Police Station Bathinda, District Bathinda.

2. The allegations in brief are that the petitioner is serving in Punjab Police and was nursing grudge against complaint Gurjeet Singh. That her co-accused persons attacked the complainant and caused injuries to him at the instance of the present petitioner.

3. The counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case and admittedly, she was not present at the spot when alleged occurrence had taken place. It is further submitted that the petitioner was granted interim bail vide order dated 25.09.2024, with direction to plant 10 saplings of indigenous plants at a public place. That the proof with regard to plantation of said saplings was produced and placed on record by the petitioner. It is further submitted that



in compliance of the order of interim bail dated 25.09.2024, the petitioner has already joined investigation with the police, thrice.

4. The present petition is resisted by the State counsel and the counsel for the complainant who have submitted that the petitioner is main conspirator at whose instance her accomplices caused injuries to the complainant. However, the State counsel has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is serving as a Constable in Punjab Police and has joined investigation with the police on multiple occasions.

5. The petitioner being police constable, there is no scope of her fleeing from justice. In the given circumstance, as the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and further she has already joined investigation with the police on three occasions, no fruitful purpose will be served even if the petitioner is subjected to custodial interrogation at this point of time.

6. In light of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby allowed and order of interim bail dated 25.09.2024 is made absolute. The petitioner is to abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482 (2) BNSS 2023. It is further made clear that the petitioner is to join further investigation as and when called to do so by the police and further in future, the petitioner should refrain from entering into any transactions with the complainant.

14.02.2025

Yogesh

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No