

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CRWP-9302-2024
Date of Decision: 14.05.2025

Lakha Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed seeking a direction to respondents no.1 to 6 to ensure protection of life and liberty of the petitioner as well as his family members since they apprehend threat at the hands of respondents no.7 to 18.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner was attacked by five-six persons on 30.08.2024, who allegedly were supporters of local MLA/respondent no.7. Although an FIR No.01 dated 09.11.2024, under Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot, was lodged regarding the incident, but no action was taken on the petitioner’s representation seeking protection of life and liberty of his and the family members.

3. Learned State counsel, on the contrary, contends that the petitioner is a history-sheeter and following five cases have been registered against him:

Sr. No.	FIR No.	Date	Sections IPC	Police Station	Status
1.	73	31.05.2009	324, 323, 148, 149	Sadar Faridkot	Cancellation report approved by Trial Court on 12.12.2020

2.	46	22.05.2010	307, 323, 324, 341, 148, 149	Sadar Faridkot	Convicted for 5 years along with fine on 09.01.2014
3.	53	23.08.2018	307, 452, 324, 323, 148, 149	Sadar Faridkot	Acquitted on 30.08.2022
4.	180	21.10.2019	353, 186	Sadar Faridkot	Pending Trial
5.	168	21.12.2020	341, 323, 148, 149	Sadar Faridkot	Pending Trial

Besides, the allegations regarding threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members were inquired into, and the allegations were found not true. He has referred to status report, dated 24.02.2025, filed by Mr. Tarlochan Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Faridkot, District Faridkot, to that effect. He further contends that in case the petitioner apprehends any threat to his or his family life and liberty in future, he can make an appropriate representation to the concerned respondents, which will be promptly looked into and appropriate action will be taken in accordance with law.

4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
5. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)

JUDGE

14.05.2025

Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No