



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.101

CRWP-8841-2025

Date of decision: 20.08.2025

Rajnish

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI**

Present: Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Randeep S Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he restricts his prayer to the issuance of directions to respondent No.3 – District Magistrate, Sonipat to finally dispose of the petitioner's application dated 26.06.2025 made to the jail authorities for grant of parole as the same has been pending decision for nearly 02 months.

2. Learned State counsel has no objection to the acceptance of the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the record, we find that the decision on the petitioner's application for grant of parole has been unnecessarily delayed by the State which is also against the spirit behind Section 12 of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 2022.



CRWP-8841-2025

-2-

4. In the light of the above, we dispose of the instant petition with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a final decision on the petitioner’s request dated 26.06.2025 for the grant of parole within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

August 20, 2025
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No