



223-CRM-M-48026-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48026-2024
Date of decision: 21.01.2025

Amrik Singh and anotherPetitioners.

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Gurbinder Sidhu, Advocate,
for Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482
of the BNSS, the petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR
(Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
48	13.08.2024	118(1), 115(2), 191(3), 190 of the BNS 118(2) of the BNS added lateron vide rapat No. 15 dated 15.08.2024)	Talwandi Bhai, District Ferozepur

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in
compliance to the order dated 13.11.2024, the petitioners have joined
the investigation.



223-CRM-M-48026-2024

3. During the course of hearing on 13.11.2024, following order has been passed: -

'Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties and he prays for some time to place on record the compromise deed.

Short reply by way of an affidavit of Karan Sharma, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (Rural) Ferozepur on behalf of respondent- State has been filed. The same is taken on record.

Adjourned to 16.12.2024

In the meantime, petitioners shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. They shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS: -

- 1) That the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.*
- 2) That the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.*
- 3) That the petitioners shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.'*

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SHO Gurpreet Singh, informs the Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioners had joined the



223-CRM-M-48026-2024

investigation consequent to the order dated 13.11.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 13.11.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS). Further the petitioners are directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioners; they will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

21.01.2025
preeti

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |