

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-44723-2025
Date of decision: 22.08.2025

LOVEDEEP KUMAR ...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. PK Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)


1. This is second petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Lovedeep Kumar	112	15.05.2022	120-B, 148, 201, 302, 307, 323, 379, 427, 506 r/w 149 of IPC	Buria	Yamuna Nagar

2. First bail petition filed by the petitioner, i.e., CRM-M-35468-2024 (O&M), titled as, “Lovedeep Kumar v. State of Haryana”, was disposed of by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in recent past, vide order dated 13.05.2025.

3. For reference, the operative part of the order dated 13.05.2025, is reproduced here-under:-

“ Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short, ‘Cr.P.C.’) for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.112 dated 15.05.2022, registered under Sections 120-B, 201, 302, 307, 323, 379, 427, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 of Indian Penal



Code, 1860 (for short, ‘IPC’) at Police Station Buria, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. *Reply by way of affidavit dated 10.05.2025 of Mr. Rajeev Miglani, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar-V (Jagadhri) on behalf of respondent has been filed and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to the other side.*

Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. *After arguing for some time, when this Court was not inclined to accept the prayer, learned counsel for petitioner wishes to withdraw the petition, “at this stage”.*

4. *Disposed off accordingly.*

5. *Needless to say that above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.*

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.”

4. At the very outset, counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of present petition.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

22.08.2025
Vishal Vardhan

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No