

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****(113)****CRWP NO.8800-2025****DATE OF DECISION: 18.08.2025**

Khushaboo Soni and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of U.T, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present Ms. Hasan Kaur, Advocate, (through VC)
for the petitioners.
Mr. Viren Sibal, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent Nos.4 to 6 and take action on their representation dated 09.08.2025 (Annexure P-5).

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that boy is 22 years old and girl is more than 23 years old. They are in love. Their parents are against their relationship and threats have even been extended to petitioner No.2 by her parents and relatives to kill her. Petitioners no.1 and 2 performed marriage with each other according to their Religious Rites and Ceremonies on 16.07.2025. Respondents No.4 to 6 are behind the lives of the petitioners thereby compelling them to run from one place to another. Apprehending danger to their life and liberty, they sent representation dated 09.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T, Chandigarh, but the same has not been taken into consideration and hence the present petition. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are



issued to respondent No. 2 - Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Viren Sibal, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 11.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

18.08.2025
mamta

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No