



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRM-M-44902-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 05, 2025

Sagar Malik and others

.....Petitioner (s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI

Present: Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

RAMESH KUMARI J. (ORAL)**CRM-33635-2025**

1. The present application has been filed seeking modification/correction of the order dated 20.08.2025.
2. In compliance of order dated 20.08.2025, learned State counsel has filed status report in a sealed cover. He informed that proceedings are conducted in terms of guidelines of Hon'ble the Supreme Court rendered in Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6899-2021, titled as ***Deepak Gupta Vs. The State of Punjab and another***, decided on 28.03.2025.
3. Since the proceedings are conducted in terms of guidelines of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in case ***Deepak Gupta*** (supra), prayer to conduct the camera proceedings, is declined.
4. Applications stands ***dismissed***.



5. Status report be sealed and be it part of the record.

CRM-M-44902-2025

1. The present petition under Section 528 of BNSS 2023 has been filed praying for issuance of directions to respondents No.3 to 4 not to harass/torture the petitioners under the garb of conducting enquiry in case FIR No.0005 dated 10.01.2020, under Sections 302, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Chattiwind, District Amritsar Rural, with further prayer for directing the respondents No.3 to 5 to conduct the interrogation/investigation of case qua the petitioners in the presence of their counsel.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are apprehending 3rd degree method during interrogation of the accused.

3. In view of this Court, when the defence counsel will be present at the time of investigation/interrogation/questioning of accused, the apprehension of adopting/applying 3rd degree method is not well founded.

4. The petition is allowed to the extent that one learned counsel will be present with accused at the time of their interrogation/questioning but at a reasonable distance to be agreed upon by counsel and investigating officer.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE

September 05, 2025
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No
Whether reportable: Yes / No