



118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23714-2025

Date of decision: 18.08.2025

Gurcharan Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

**Present:** Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikram Preet Arora, Advocate  
for the respondents-M.C.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondent-Department to release the remaining service-cum-retirement dues of the petitioner along with interest on delayed payment.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after filing of the present writ petition, the retiral dues have been paid to the petitioner, however, his right to claim interest on the delayed payment of retiral dues, still survives. He further submits that he would be satisfied if the legal notice (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner is decided by the respondents by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice, submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to the respondents for time-



CWP-23714-2025

-2-

bound consideration and decision of the legal notice of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondents are directed to consider the legal notice (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording him an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondents.

5. In case, there is no disciplinary proceeding or embargo in releasing the retiral dues to the petitioner, the petitioner would be entitled to interest at the rate of 7.5% per annum in terms of the judgment rendered by a Full Bench of this Court in *A.J. Randhawa Supg. Engineer (Retd.) vs. State of Punjab 1998 (1) SCT 343*.

6. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

18.08.2025

Neha

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |