



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CRM-32822-2025 in/and
CRR-2037-2025
Decided on : 11.09.2025

RAJBIR

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Naveen Kundu, Advocate
for the petitioner. (Through VC)
Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J.

Petitioner has filed instant revision petition assailing order dated 23.04.2024 passed learned Sessions Judge, Panipat vide which his application under Section 391 Cr.P.C. seeking additional evidence was dismissed. Along with the aforesaid revision petitioner has also filed application seeking condonation of delay.

2. Heard.

3. Perusal of application reveals that there is delay of 387 days in filing the revision petition i.e. more than one year and in application for condonation of delay, delay has not been explained. Learned counsel took plea of ill health of the applicant as well as his financial crisis as a ground for condonation of said delay. As the order was passed in the presence of counsel for the petitioner and was well within knowledge of the petitioner, the stand of the petitioner that he had not enough money to engage a counsel is not tenable inasmuch as, if this was the reason then he was



entitled for a legal aid counsel, so he could easily file the petition through Legal Aid Counsel. Petitioner fails to convince this Court regarding the delay of filing the present petition and it give an impression of just to delay the proceeding and he is not pursuing the litigation seriously. On merit also he has no good case.

5. This Court finds no merit in the application for condonation of delay. As such, the present application for condonation of delay is hereby dismissed, consequently revision also stands dismissed.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

11.09.2025
pry

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No