**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.101

COCP-2452-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.08.2025

SULTAN SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

PRABHA GOYAL

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- Ms. Mamta Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate with
Mr. Karanjeet Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

*****

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

At this stage, both the counsel submits that in consonance with the order, copy whereof is Annexure P-1, the financial benefits to the extent of 95%, as due, have already been paid to the petitioner. Also, counsel for the respondent has brought to the notice of the court that the residue 5% amount has been retained only with the purpose of clearance of the income tax dues, to be paid on this amount.

Learned counsel for the respondent has also given an undertaking that the residue amount shall be paid by the respondent, as and when the income tax dues are cleared.

In view of the undertaking so given by the counsel for the respondent, counsel for the petitioner submits that she does not want to pursue with the present petition and the same be dismissed as withdrawn.

Ordered accordingly.

However, counsel for the applicant makes a prayer that some time bound direction be given to the respondent, to make payment, if found over and above the clearance of income tax dues.

In view of the submission, so made, the respondent is hereby directed to make the payment, after the clearance of the income tax dues, within a period of six weeks.

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

25.08.2025
Sonu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No