



CWP-19774-2015

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(257)

CWP-19774-2015

Date of Decision : January 07, 2025

Preeti @ Ramesh and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

Presiding Officer and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harkirat Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Jyoti Chahal, Advocate, for
Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, following order was passed:-

“Since, order dated 02.10.2014, vide which the petitioners were earlier proceeded against ex parte, has never been challenged, counsel for the petitioners seeks liberty to challenge the said order by moving an appropriate application before the Authority under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948, at this stage.

Even, order dated 02.10.2014 has not been assailed in the present writ petition.

To show bona fide, let the petitioners deposit the complete amount ordered by learned Authority, vide impugned order dated 31.12.2014 (Annexure P-1), within a period of four weeks from today.

Adjourned to 23.07.2024.

It is clarified that no further adjournment shall be granted.

**CWP-19774-2015****2**

However, it will be open for the petitioners to move an application for setting aside of the ex parte order dated 02.10.2014, only after depositing of the already awarded amount by the Authority and before the next date of hearing fixed before this Court.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has not been able to comply with the order dated 09.05.2024 so as to challenge the order dated 02.10.2014 and further, the amount ordered by the authority concerned, has also not been deposited.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that under the garb of pendency of the present petition, the implementation of the impugned order dated 31.12.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 is not being implemented, which is causing prejudice to the respondent-employee.

4. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file comprehensive fresh petition.

5. Ordered accordingly.

January 07, 2025*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No