



233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25955-2022

Date of Decision: 11.12.2025

Sabish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Surinder Gaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of orders dated 05.09.2016, 23.06.2022 and 15.09.2022.

2. The petitioner was dismissed from service on account of his implication in FIR No.455 dated 03.09.2016 registered under Sections 17, 18, 21 and 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Act, 1985 and Sections 7 & 13 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 at Police Station City Bahadurgarh. He unsuccessfully preferred appeal.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of instant petition, the trial Court vide judgment dated 10.12.2021 has acquitted the petitioner, thus, matter needs to be reexamined in the light of 16.3 of Punjab Police Rules, 1934 (in short 'PPR').

4. On being confronted with judgment dated 02.04.2025 passed by this Court in **CWP No.18777 of 2023** titled as **"Bala Singh Versus**

State of Haryana and Others”, judgment dated 21.05.2025 passed in *CWP No.21304 of 2024* titled as “*Nirmaljit Singh Versus State of Punjab and Others*” and judgment dated 27.05.2025 passed in *CWP No.25411 of 2022* titled as “*Dheeraj Kumar Versus State of Haryana and Others*”, learned State counsel expressed his inability to controvert the fact that as per Rule 16.3 of PPR, matter needs to be reconsidered by the Competent Authority.

5. In the light of orders passed in *Bala Singh (Supra)*, *Nirmaljit Singh (supra)* and *Dheeraj Kumar (supra)* as well as Rule 16.3 of PPR, the impugned orders are hereby set aside and the Appellate Authority is directed to pass fresh order in terms of Rule 16.3 of PPR. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merit.

6. *Disposed of* in above terms.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.12.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No

\