



CRM-M-48229-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

289

CRM-M-48229-2024

Date of decision : 21.05.2025

Manish

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. Paras Khindri, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manjit Singh Gahlawat, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)**

1. Petitioner- Manish filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.389 dated 06.07.2021, registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 420, 506 of IPC, at Police Station HTM Hisar, District Hisar and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioner, in the light of compromise effected between the parties dated 18.09.2024 (Annexure P-2).
2. As per facts of the case, complainant Neetu filed written complaint against her husband Manish Kumar and other members of in-laws family. It is alleged that she got married with Manish Kumar on 07.12.2020 at Hisar. Her parents had spent money beyond their means. At the time of marriage, her parents had given cash of Rs.2 Lacs along with LCD and washing machine. They had given gold jewellery, clothes and other household articles which were handed over to her husband and father-in-law. Two days after marriage, her husband and father-in-law started raising demand for more dowry and she was told to bring Rs.2 Lacs from her father. On 08.12.2020 and 09.12.2020 her husband suffered from fit and his whole body became stiff. She was told not to worry about it as



due to fatigue of marriage it happened. Subsequently, she came to know that her husband was suffering from seizures and he was under medication for the last 05 years. This fact was concealed at the time of marriage. She has narrated various incidents when her husband suffered from seizures and he was under medical treatment. The accused were insisting for more money and she was harassed in the matrimonial home. She was told to go back to her parental house, in case she was unable to satisfy this demand. Panchayats were convened to settle the matter but it could not be resolved. Finally, she filed this complaint and present FIR was registered.

3. Petitioner filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 29.11.2024, petitioner and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hisar dated 20.12.2024. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded where she confirmed the compromise with petitioner. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected voluntarily and without any coercion and undue influence and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

4. Mr. Paras Khindri, Advocate appeared on behalf of petitioner and filed vakalatnama after taking no objection from earlier counsel, which is taken on record.

5. Petitioner- Manish also confirmed this fact in his separate statement. Statement of ASI Subhash Chander is also recorded who confirmed that petitioner is not involved or declared as proclaimed offender in any other criminal case.



CRM-M-48229-2024

-3-

6. Therefore, from the report of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hisar, it is clear that compromise has been effected between the parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. They have mutually settled all their claims arisen from matrimonial dispute. They have decided to part ways by filing joint petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. Thereafter, they will be able to live independently in peace and harmony. No purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

7. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in **2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 tilted as Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.**, where it was explained that 'there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.'

8. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioner is accepted and of FIR No.389 dated 06.07.2021, registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 420, 506 of IPC, at Police Station HTM Hisar, District Hisar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioner.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)  
JUDGE

21.05.2025.

Sunil Devi

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes/No |