



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-45117-2025

Date of Decision:-25.08.2025

Sandeep Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Kartik Gandhi, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Sr. DAG Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The Prayer in this petition under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.17 dated 27.05.2025 under Sections 7 & 13(1)(b) read with Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and Section 308(2) of BNS 2023 registered at Police Station Anti Corruption Bureau, Gurugram, Haryana.

2. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner while posted as J.E. in the M.C. Gurugaon demanded and accepted a bribe amount of Rs.1,50,000/- from the complainant in lieu of permitting the construction of a godown.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The recovery has been planted upon him. As he is in custody since 27.05.2025 but none of the 20 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled



to the concession of bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State on the other hand contends that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are grave. He is not entitled to the concession as prayed for. He however concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 27.05.2025 and none of the 20 have been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is stated to be in custody since 27.05.2025 and none of the 20 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, his further incarceration is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-**Sandeep Kumar** son of Sh. Krishan Kumar is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioners from trial without sufficient cause.

9. The petitions stand disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

August 25, 2025
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No