



CRM-M-44261-2025`

-1-

**284 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44261-2025

Date of decision: 30.10.2025

SUDHIR SHARMA ALIAS**SUDHIR RAJ SHARMA AND ANR.****...PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate and

Mr. Nikhil Thamman, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.159 dated 17.06.2025 registered under Sections 351(2), 3(5) and 49 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 27(1), 25(1)(B), 25(9) of Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 109(1) and 287 of BNS and Section 27(2) Arms Act have been deleted and Sections 27(1) and 25(9) of Arms Act added in challan), at Police Station City Kaithal, District Kaithal and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 07.07.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.
2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2.
3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submitted that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.



CRM-M-44261-2025`

-2-

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kaithal along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

7. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

8. For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.159 dated 17.06.2025 registered under Sections 351(2), 3(5) and 49 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 27(1), 25(1)(B), 25(9) of Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 109(1) and 287 of BNS and Section 27(2) Arms Act have been deleted and Sections 27(1) and 25(9) of Arms Act added in challan), at Police Station City Kaithal, District Kaithal and all the subsequent

**CRM-M-44261-2025`****-3-**

proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners subject to costs of Rs.20,000/- to be deposited by the petitioners with the Punjab State Legal Services Authority-Disaster Relief Fund, Account No.44426937384, IFSC Code- SBIN0014656, State Bank of India, Sector-68, SAS Nagar (Punjab).

9. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within one month i.e. upto 30.11.2025, present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed as withdrawn.

30.10.2025
renubala

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No