



CRM-M-44439-2025

1

225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44439-2025

Date of decision: 27.08.2025

DHEERAJ ALIAS DHEERAJ VARMA Petitioner**Versus****STATE OF HARYANA Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate with
Ms. Shivaly Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):

1. Petitioner has filed petition for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.281, dated 04.11.2020, under Sections 302 and 328 of IPC (later on Section 302 of IPC deleted and Sections 304-II, 201, 120-B and 420 of IPC added and Section 65(c) of Excise Act added, registered at Police Station Chhansa, District Faridabad; with a further prayer that the order dated 02.06.2025 (Annexure P-7) passed by the learned ASJ, Faridabad vide which the bail stands cancelled and the bail bonds stands forfeited, may kindly be set aside.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is in custody since 06.08.2025. Earlier he was granted 2nd bail on merits in the present case but he failed to appear before the Court on 06.08.2025 and his bail and surety bonds were cancelled and forfeited to the State. Learned counsel further contended that the petitioner is ready to abide by all terms and conditions if he is released on bail.



3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana, accepted the notice on behalf of the respondent-State and vehemently opposed the bail petition and while submitting that due to non appearance of the petitioner, the trial could not progress. He, therefore, prayed for dismissal of the petition.

5. Heard.

6. The petitioner was earlier granted bail on merits in the present case but he failed to appear before the Court on the date fixed and his bail bonds and surety bonds were cancelled and forfeited to the State but it is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that he himself appeared on the next date of hearing before the trial Court. So, in view of the fact that the trial will take time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, the present petition is accepted. The trial Court is directed to release the petitioner on bail on his furnishing adequate bonds to its satisfaction or after imposing conditions as deemed appropriate to secure his presence. It is further directed that in case the petitioner absents himself in future and his non-appearance hampers the progress of the trial, the trial Court shall be at liberty to take necessary steps in accordance with law

7. Disposed of accordingly.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

27.08.2025
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No