



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

242

CRM-A-1455-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 24.09.2025

DEEPAK MALIK

....Applicant

Versus

RAJIV KUNDU AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Ishwinder Pal Singh, Advocate, for the applicant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 419(4) of BNSS seeking grant of leave to appeal against the judgment of acquittal dated 05.06.2025 passed by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sonapat, in a complaint filed under Sections 323 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC.

2. Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc.**, 2025 SCC OnLine 1320, held that an appeal is maintainable under the proviso of Section 372 Cr.P.C. (corresponding Section 413 of BNSS, 2023) against an order of acquittal passed in a complaint by treating the complainant therein, as a 'victim' within the meaning under Section 2 (wa) of Cr.P.C, in view of which, the present appeal is disposed of by directing the concerned Sessions Judge, for treating it to have been filed under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. and assigning the same to the Court concerned for deciding it.

3. Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and trial Court record, if received, along with the said order forthwith, while also informing the parties.

24.09.2025

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**