



101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44086-2025

Date of Decision :13.08.2025

SUKHPAL SINGH KHAIRA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate
Ms. Keerat Dhillon, Advocate
Mr. Siddharth Sihag, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chanchal K. Singla,
Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a Vigilance inquiry regarding disproportionate assets is pending against the petitioner since 12.02.2024, and there is an apprehension that he will be arrested in the matter anytime now. The apprehension is evident on account of the fact that petitioner being an opposition MLA, has been opposing various acts of omission and commission on the part of the State Government, and more particularly the Chief Minister.

2. Since the Vigilance Department has jurisdiction all over the State and an FIR can be lodged against the petitioner in any District, he has approached this Court straightway without approaching the Sessions Court.



3. Learned State counsel does not dispute that an inquiry is pending against the petitioner but contends there is no apprehension of his arrest as of now, nor has the Vigilance issued any notice to him to join investigation.
4. In view thereof, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the petition.
5. Disposed of as such.

August 13, 2025
ps

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*