



209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47568-2024
Decided on: 28.10.2025

RishiPetitioner

Versus
State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Rishi	217	14.12.2023	307/336/506/511 IPC and 25/27 of Arms Act	Salem Tabri	Ludhiana

2. On 23.09.2024, following order was passed:-

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. in criminal case having FIR No.217 dated 14.12.2023 registered under Sections 307, 336, 506, 511 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Salem Tabri, District Ludhiana.

Counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that as per the allegations in the FIR which is



registered on the basis of secret information, Meera @ Jyoti was the victim in this case but she remained silent for two days and got recorded her statement on 16.12.2023. Furthermore this is a no injury case and that the petitioner is ready to join investigation with the police.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Jasjeet Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and on instructions from ASI Harmesh Lal submits that the present petitioner fired pistol shot aiming towards Meera @ Jyoti but it did not hit her. It is further submitted that petitioner is required by the police for proper investigation of the case.

*Now be listed on **18.11.2024.***

In view of the above, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation with the police and in case of arrest, he is directed to be released on interim bail by the IO/Arresting Officer to his own satisfaction till the next date of hearing. The petitioner is to abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 23.09.2024, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 28.05.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.



CRM-M-47568-2024

3

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 23.09.2024, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

28.10.2025
sonia

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**