



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

244

CRM-M No.44447 of 2025
Date of Decision: 21.08.2025

Paramjeet Singh @ Pamma ... Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Rhythem Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short “BNSS”) seeking regular bail in the FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
134	11.06.2025	City-1, Abohar, District Fazilka	61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914

2. As per the allegations, on receipt of a secret information that the petitioner along with his wife was indulged in the business of manufacturing and selling illicit liquor, a raiding party was formed and raid was conducted at a vacant plot adjoining to the house of the petitioner and 100 litres of lahan was recovered from the same. The petitioner was arrested on 12.06.2025. Investigation now stands

2025:PHHC:110246



concluded.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. A false recovery has been planted out of political vendetta. The subject offence is triable by Magistrate. His further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. He is suffering from several physical ailments. He has permanent abode and there are no chances of his absconding. It is, therefore, urged that he deserves to be released on bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Vivek Sharma, AAG, Punjab has advance notice of the petition and is ready to argue the matter. Custody certificate has been filed. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab has argued that the petitioner is a habitual offender since several other cases most of which are of the same nature have been registered as against the petitioner. There are chances of his committing similar offences if extended benefit of bail. With these broad submissions, it is urged that the petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail.

6. This Court has considered the rival submissions.

7. The petitioner is alleged to be found in possession of 100 litres of illicit liquor/lahan. He is in custody since 12.06.2025. Trial would take time to conclude. Keeping in view the period of incarceration of the petitioner, the nature of the allegations and the attendant facts but without meaning to make any comment on the merits thereof lest the same prejudice

2025:PHHC:110246



the trial, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail subject to his furnishing personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

21.08.2025
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No