



CRM-M-44356-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M-44356-2025  
Decided on: 17.11.2025**

**Jasveer Singh****.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab****.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Judgepreet Singh Warring, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J.**

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

| <b>Name &amp; age of Petitioner (s)</b> | <b>FIR No.</b> | <b>Date</b> | <b>Section(s)</b>                | <b>Police Station</b> | <b>District</b> |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Jasveer Singh, aged about 50 years      | 94             | 20.07.2025  | 61 of the Punjab Excise Act 1914 | Phool                 | Bathinda        |

2. On 18.08.2025, following order was passed:-

*“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-*



CRM-M-44356-2025

2

| Name & age of Petitioner (s)       | FIR No. | Date       | Section(s)                       | Police Station | District |
|------------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Jasveer Singh, aged about 50 years | 94      | 20.07.2025 | 61 of the Punjab Excise Act 1914 | Phool          | Bathinda |

2. *Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that FIR was registered solely on the basis of secret information and even prior to the recovery of the alleged liquor/lahan. In fact, an unclaimed quantity of 50 kgs of lahan has been planted against the petitioner by way of registering an anti-timed FIR.*

3. *Further, it is argued by counsel for the petitioner that since, the recovery was effected in the absence of the petitioner, onus lies heavily upon the prosecution to prove the charges beyond reasonable doubt. Moreover, petitioner is ready to join the investigation and fully cooperate, if protected from arrest by this Court. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.*

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.*

6. *Adjourned to 17.11.2025.*

7. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*



CRM-M-44356-2025

3

*It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”*

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends in compliance with the order dated 18.08.2025 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation and has extended full cooperation. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Chet Singh, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining investigation by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 18.08.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

17.11.2025  
Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO  
Whether Reportable: YES/NO