



CRM-M-44028-2025

-1-

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-44028-2025

Date of Decision: 02.09.2025

Nikka Singh @ Mohan Singh

... Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA.

Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate and  
Mr. Angrej Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

**SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)**

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in FIR bearing No.79 dated 22.08.2024, registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 471 & 120-B IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983 at Police Station NRI, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

2. Briefly stated the facts of the case are that one Major Singh made a police complaint against Nikka Singh (petitioner herein), Paramjit Kaur and Bau Singh alleging that his neighbourer recommended Nikka Singh as immigration agent who can send persons abroad for Rs.7,00,000/-. The petitioner is married to co-accused Paramjit Kaur. The petitioner convinced complainant that complainant would be sent Armenia as his brother Bau Singh is also settled there. Telephonic conversation was made wherein Bau assured for safe and early immigration. On various occasions Rs.6,50,000/- in total were paid, out of which Rs.3,00,000/- were paid through UPI and mobile channels and Rs.3,50,000/- were given cash.

**CRM-M-44028-2025****-2-**

When complainant reached Armenia then necessary promises were not kept. Thus, the present FIR has been registered.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 17.06.2025. No amount has been received in his account. He is already remanded to judicial custody and not required for any investigation purpose. The petitioner has no criminal antecedents and the trial is likely to take long time in its conclusion.

3. Mr. Sham Lal Sharma, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. He submits that the complainant has parted away with amount of Rs.6,50,000/- on the instance of the present petitioner in different accounts as asked by him. But the petitioner issued a fake VISA for Russia and he was deported from Russia.

3. Custody certificate has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State showing that the petitioner is in custody since 2 months and 14 days. Learned State counsel has opposed the bail petition by submitting that the investigation is going on in the present matter.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view that the petitioner is in custody since 2 months and 14 days; he is already remanded to judicial custody and not required for any investigation further and the fact that there is no material on file to show that he is involved in any other case except the present one; offences are triable by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class and the trial is likely to take time in its conclusion, this Court deems it a fit case to grant him concession of regular bail.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular



bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

02.09.2025  
monika verma

( SUBHAS MEHLA )  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No