


2025:PHHC:106080



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRWP-8785-2025

Date of Decision : 18.08.2025

SHER MOHD AND ANOTHER

.... PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present :- Mr. Nafeesh Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents not to harass or interfere in their lives.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take a decision on the representation (Annexure P-5) submitted on behalf of the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel, who appears on advance notice, has no objection if the above prayer is accepted.

4. Heard.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take a decision on the aforesaid representation submitted on behalf of the petitioner in accordance with law. However, if the State or any aggrieved person has any grievance against them regarding any offence, appropriate action may be taken in accordance with law.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

18.08. 2025

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No